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#### Abstract

The crystal and molecular structures of the title complexes, [Fe(salpeen)] (1) and [ Co (salpeen)] $\cdot \mathrm{EtOH}$ (2), have been determined using three-dimensional $X$-ray diffraction data collected on automatic diffractometers. Complex (1) crystallises in the monoclinic space group $P 2_{1} / c$ with the unit-cell parameters $a=10.572(2), b=11.465(2), c=$ 16.640 (3) $\AA, \beta=90.52(1)^{\circ}$, and $Z=4$, and its structure has been refined by conventional least-squares techniques using 1118 reflections having $/>3 \sigma_{I}$ to give $R 0.056$ and $R^{\prime} 0.062$. Complex (2) crystallises in the triclinic space group $P \overline{1}$ with the unit-cell parameters $a=15.049(2), b=15.127(2), c=10.258(1) ~ \AA, \alpha=90.53(1), \beta=$ $93.53(1), \gamma=109.81(1)^{\circ}$, and $Z=4$, and its structure has been refined using 1237 reflections having $/>3 \sigma_{I}$ to give $R 0.064$ and $R^{\prime} 0.069$. The cobalt complex thus has two crystallographically independent molecules in the asymmetric unit, each hydrogen bonded via an oxygen atom to an ethanol adduct molecule; there are small but significant differences between the two molecules. The iron and cobalt complexes have a similar distorted trigonal-bipyramidal geometry.


The structures of five-co-ordinate complexes of iron(iI) and cobalt(iI) are of some significance with regard to changes in geometry that occur on formation of a dioxygen adduct. Studies of such complexes, containing a dianionic quadridentate ligand capable of assuming an essentially square-planar geometry (such as a porphyrin or Schiff base) or a conjugated amine ligand (such as imidazole or pyridine), are comparatively rare. In their crystal structures, the tetraphenylporphinato-complexes $[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{tpp})(2 \mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{im})],{ }^{1}[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{tpp})(1 \mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{im})],{ }^{2}\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{tpp})\left(1,2 \mathrm{Me}_{2}-\right.\right.$ im) $],{ }^{3}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{tpp})\left(3,5 \mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{py}\right)\right]{ }^{4,5}$ are all square pyramidal. $\dagger$ The complex [Co(salen)(py)], ${ }^{6}$ which features the more flexible Schiff-base ligand, also exhibits squarepyramidal geometry in the crystalline state, but [Co-(3F-saltmen)( 1 Me -im)] has a distorted trigonal-bipyramidal geometry. ${ }^{7}$ The complex [Co(salbn)(py)] crystallises with two crystallographically independent molecules; one has square-pyramidal geometry, the other distorted trigonal-bipyramidal geometry. ${ }^{8}$

In this paper the crystal and molecular structures of the title complexes [ Fe (salpeen)] (1) and [ Co (salpeen) $]$ (2) are reported and compared. The cobalt complex and its dioxygen adduct have been communicated briefly. ${ }^{9}$ The following paper ${ }^{\mathbf{1 0}}$ gives the full crystal and molecular structure of the dioxygen adduct and discusses stereochemical changes accompanying oxygenation. The iron(II) complex does not form an easily isolable dioxygen adduct; rapid irreversible oxidation of the type commonly observed for iron(II) complexes ${ }^{11}$ is presumed to have occurred.
$\dagger$ Abbreviations: tpp $=$ meso-Tetraphenylporphinate; salen $=$ $N N^{\prime}$-ethylenebis(salicylideneiminate); $\quad 3 \mathrm{~F}$-saltmen $=N N^{\prime}$ -tetramethylethylenebis(3-fluorosalicylideneiminate); salpeen $=N N^{\prime}$-[2-(2'-pyridyl)ethyl]ethylenebis(salicylideneiminate); 1 Me -im = 1-methylimidazole; $\quad 2 \mathrm{Me}$-im $=2$-methylimidazole $1,2 \mathrm{Me}_{2}$-im $=1,2$-dimethylimidazole; $3,5 \mathrm{Me}_{2}$-py $=3,5$-dimethylpyridine; py $=$ pyridine; salbn $=N N^{\prime}$-butane-1,4-diylbis(salicylideneiminate).
$\ddagger$ Throughout this paper: 1 B.M. $\approx 9.27 \times 10^{-24} \mathrm{Am}^{2}$.
${ }^{1}$ D. A. Buckingham, J. P. Collman, J. L. Hoard, G. Lang, J. L. Radonovich, C. A. Reed, and W. T. Robinson, unpublished work.
${ }^{2}$ W. R. Scheidt, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1974, 96, 90.
${ }^{3}$ R. N. Dwyer, P. Madura, and W. R. Scheidt, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1974, 96, 4815.
${ }^{4}$ W. R. Scheidt and J. Ramanuja, unpublished results quoted in ref. 5.

5 J. L. Hoard, in 'Porphyrins and Metalloporphyrins,' ed. K. M. Smith, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1975, ch. 8.

## EXPERIMENTAL

Thin plate-like red crystals of $[\mathrm{Fe}$ (salpeen)] (1) and [Co(salpeen)] (2) were both prepared according to ref. $9(a)$. Both complexes are high spin: $\mu_{\text {eff. }}=5.14$ for (1) and 4.25 B.M. for (2) at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .+\quad$ Crystal data and data-collection procedures are summarised in Table 1. The intensity data obtained were corrected for background and Lorentz and polarisation effects. The intensities of three standard reflections well separated in reciprocal space were used to ensure that the relative intensity data for each analysis were correctly scaled.

Throughout this work full-matrix least-squares refinements were based on $F_{\mathrm{c}}$ and the function minimised was $\Delta=\Sigma w\left(\left|F_{0}\right|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right)^{2}$. The weights, $w$, were taken as $4 I / \sigma_{I}{ }^{2}$ where $\sigma_{I}$ is the standard deviation in the intensity $I$ estimated from counting statistics. $\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|$ and $\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|$ are the observed and calculated structure-factor amplitudes, respectively. Agreement factors were defined as $R=$ $\left(\Sigma\left|\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right) / \Sigma\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|\right.$ and $R^{\prime}=\left[\Sigma w\left(\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|-\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|\right)^{2} / \Sigma w\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$. The standard error in an observation of unit weight was $\left[\Delta /\left(N_{\mathrm{O}}-N_{\nabla}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ where $N_{\mathrm{O}}$ is the number of observations and $N_{V}$ the number of variable parameters used to describe the structural model. Atomic-scattering factors for nonhydrogen atoms were taken from Cromer and Mann, ${ }^{12}$ for hydrogen atoms from Stewart et al. ${ }^{13}$ The effects of anomalous dispersion for iron and cobalt were included in $F_{\mathrm{c}}$ (ref. 14) using Cromer's values. ${ }^{15}$
[Fe(salpeen)] (1).-The structure was solved by direct methods. Phases were obtained for 428 out of the 464 strongest $E$ values. Notwithstanding a program bug which resulted in the $E$ map being calculated with all the $E$ values having the same magnitude, elucidation of most of the

[^0]structure was possible. Remaining non-hydrogen atom positions were established using Fourier syntheses, and their positional and thermal parameters refined. Most hydrogen atoms were located in Fourier syntheses and included in the model at their idealised positions with $r(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}) 1.0 \AA$ and temperature factors derived from those of the attached carbon atom, viz. $B_{\mathrm{H}}=B_{\mathrm{C}}+1.0 \quad \AA^{2}$. The hydrogen atoms were not refined and their positions were recalculated after each cycle. Refinement converged satisfactorily (Table 1). The final error in an observation of unit weight was independent of $F_{\mathrm{o}}$ and $(\sin \theta) / \lambda$ indicating a satisfactory weighting scheme. There was no evidence for secondary
structures. Here and elsewhere the estimated standard deviation in the least significant digits is quoted in parentheses and is conventionally derived from the inverse of the least-squares matrix.

Calculations were carried out at the University of Canterbury, New Zealand using a Burroughs 6718 computer; the data-processing program HILGOU'T is based on programs DRED (J. F. Blount) and PICKOUT (R. J. Doedens). Numerical absorption corrections were applied using DABS, a modified version of DATAPH (P. Coppens). Structurefactor calculations and least-squares refinements were carried out using program CUCLS and Fourier summations

Table 1
Crystal data and data collection

Molecular formula
Formula weight
Crystal symmetry
Space group
$a, b, c(\AA)$
$\alpha, \beta, \gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$
$D_{c}, D_{\mathrm{m}}\left(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}\right)$
Crystal dimensions(mm) $\mu / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$
Transmission coefficients Diffractometer
Temperature ( $\theta_{\mathrm{c}} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )
Monochromatisation
Scan type
Scan width $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$
Scan time ( $t / \mathrm{s}$ )
Background time ( $t / \mathrm{s}$ )
$\theta_{\text {max. }} /^{\circ},(\sin \theta) / \lambda\left(\AA^{-1}\right)$
Number of unique intensities collected
Number of intensities with $I>3 \sigma_{t}$
Number optimal weighting 0.05
Final shifte parameters 122
Final shift/e.s.d. 0.02
Final $R, R^{\prime} \quad 0.056,0.062$
Error in observation of unit weight
1.52

1118
[ Fe (salpeen)] (1)
$\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{FeN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
427.3
monoclinic
$P 2_{1} / c$ (no. 14) ${ }^{a}$
$10.572(2), 11.465(2), 16.640(3){ }^{c}$
90, $90.52(1), 90$ 4
1.41, 1.40 (1) (flotation)
0.18 (max.), 0.06 (min.)
$63.1\left(\mathrm{Cu}-K \alpha_{1}, \lambda 1.5418 \AA\right)$
0.70 (max.), 0.55 (min.)

Syntex $P 2_{1}$ (four-circle) 20
Graphite monochromator $\theta-2 \theta$ symmetric
$f$
$f$
50.0, 0.50

2401
$1118^{\circ}$
[ Co (salpeen) $](2)$
$\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{CoN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}$
476.5
476.5
triclinic
$P \overline{1}{ }^{b}$
15.049(2), $15.127(2), 10.258(1){ }^{c}$
90.53(1), $93.53(1), 105.81(1)$

4
1.41, 1.30(1) (flotation) ${ }^{d}$
$0.33,0.25,0.03$
8.31 (Mo- $K_{\alpha}, \lambda 0.7107 \AA$ )
0.98 (max.), 0.79 (min.)

Hilger and Watts (four-circle)
23
Zr filter
$\theta-2 \theta$ symmetric
0.72

72
18
18.0, 0.43

3105
$1237^{\circ}$
$0.06^{k}$
$129 \times 2$
0.10
$0.064,0.069$
${ }^{a}$ ' International Tables for $X$-Ray Crystallography,' Kynoch Press, Birmingham, 1962, vol. 1. ${ }^{\boldsymbol{b}}$ By structure determination. ${ }^{c}$ Cell parameters, crystal orientation, and data collection at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for (1) and at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for (2). doss of adduct occurred. ${ }^{\circ}$ Data for (2) but not (1) were corrected for absorption using DABS, a local version of DATAPH (P. Coppens). f Variable: based on a preliminary scan through each reflection. gonly data having $I>3 \sigma_{I}$ used in refinement. ${ }^{n} \mathrm{P}$. W. R. Corfield, R.J. Doedens, and J. A. Ibers, Inorg. Chem., 1967, 6, 197.
extinction among strong low-angle reflections. For data having $I \leqslant 3 \sigma_{I}$ there were no serious or systematic discrepancies between $F_{o}$ and $F_{c}$ with respect to $\sigma_{I}$.
[Co(salpeen)] $\cdot \mathrm{EtOH}$ (2).-Space group $P \overline{\mathbf{1}}$, with two crystallographically independent molecules in the formula unit, was assumed and subsequent refinement confirmed this choice. A three-dimensional Patterson synthesis yielded co-ordinates for the two cobalt atoms of the asymmetric unit. Successive cycles of least-squares refinements and Fourier syntheses established co-ordinates for the nonhydrogen atoms and for some hydrogen atoms. All the hydrogen atoms in [Co(salpeen)] were included in the model as before. Refinements were conducted in two blocks with each block containing the two cobalt atoms, the two ethanol adduct molecules, and one or other of the two crystallographically independent salpeen ligand systems. Refinements converged satisfactorily (Table 1). The weighting scheme was satisfactory and there was no evidence for secondary extinction.
Table 2 lists the final atomic parameters for both crystal
using FOURIER. These are highly modified versions of ORFLS (W. R. Busing, K. O. Martin, and H. A. Levy), and FORDAP (A. Zalkin), respectively. Programs SHNORM and SAP, derived from NRC-4 (S. R. Hall and F. R. Ahmed), were used to calculate normalised structure factors ( $E$ values) and derive phases.

Comprehensive tables of structure factors, bond distances, bond angles, non-hydrogen intermolecular contacts ( $<3.75 \AA$ ), and selected least-squares planes and the deviations of atoms therefrom are deposited as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 22173 ( 22 pp .).* A selection of torsional angles is deposited in the supplementary material of the following paper.

## DISCUSSION

The crystal structure of (1) consists of well separated molecules of $\left\{N N^{\prime}-\left[2-\left(2^{\prime}\right.\right.\right.$-pyridyl)ethyl]ethylenebis(sali-

* For details see Notices to Authors No.7, J.C.S. Dalton, 1977, Index issue.

Table 2
Final atomic parameters

|  | [Fe(salpeen)] |  |  |  | [Co(salpeen)] (A) |  |  |  | [Co(salpeen)] (B) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $X$ | $Y$ | $Z$ | B | $\boldsymbol{X}$ | $Y$ | $Z$ | $B$ | $X$ | $Y$ | $Z$ | $B$ |
| (a) Refined atoms $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M | $07733(14)$ | $24212(14)$ | $65621(8)$ | $b$ | 1809 (2) | 093 4(2) | -0072(3) | 3.93(9) | 187 6(2) | -4993(2) | 494 4(3) | 3.68(9) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | -0168(6) | 3419 (5) | 5812 (3) | 4.0(1) | 1415 (9) | 0871 18) | 1691 (12) | 3.9 (3) | 1462 (10) | -5177(9) | 6699 (12) | $4.2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 2269 95) | 3342 (5) | 685 6(3) | 4.0(1) | 2534 (9) | 0103 (8) | 036 6(12) | 3.7 (3) | 2583 (9) | -375 1(8) | 5301 12) | $3.5(3)$ |
| N(1) | -0972(7) | 1770 (7) | 6926 (4) | $3.85(17)$ | 071 3(11) | 1275 5(10) | -0738(15) | $3.2(4)$ | $0702(12)$ | -588 6(11) | 422 1(16) | 4.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 1320 (7) | 1258 (7) | 747 7(4) | 4.19 (18) | $2062(12)$ | 0917 (11) | -1891(16) | 3.9(4) | 213 4(12) | -485 6(12) | 3064 (15) | 3.9(4) |
| N(3) | 161 1(7) | 1260 (7) | 570 6(4) | $4.19(18)$ | 2931 (11) | 2157 (10) | 0217 (15) | $3.0(4)$ | 2962 (11) | -5661(11) | 5187 716) | $3.9(4)$ |
| C(1) | -090 3(10) | 0909 (10) | 7606 (6) | $5.5(3)$ | 0676 (14) | 1350 0(14) | -2187(20) | 3.9 (5) | 0654 (15) | -5977(14) | 2777 (21) | $4.2(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 038 8(9) | 0337 79) | 7617 (6) | 4.7(2) | $1602(15)$ | 147 6(15) | -2725(20) | 4.3 (6) | 1593 (15) | -565 6(15) | 2250 (20) | $4.1(5)$ |
| C(3) | 0524 (10) | -065 9(10) | 700 7(6) | $5.7(3)$ | 2131 115) | $2529(15)$ | -2673(21) | 4.7 (6) | 2103 (15) | -6438(15) | 2333 (21) | $5.0(6)$ |
| C(4) | 033999 | -042 6(9) | 6119 9(6) | $5.2(3)$ | 2272 (17) | $3062(16)$ | -1405(24) | 6.0(7) | 2223 (14) | -690 4(14) | $3609(20)$ | $3.6(5)$ |
| C(5) | 1457 (9) | $0079(9)$ | 5687 76) | $4.5(2)$ | 3045 (16) | 2978 8(15) | -0495(20) | $4.2(5)$ | 3040 015) | -640 0(14) | 451.3(19) | $3.2(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 2299 9(10) | -0602(9) | 5264 4(6) | 5.2(3) | 3907 7(20) | 3675 (18) | -0365(25) | 7.3(7) | 3797 (16) | -674 2(14) | 4715 (19) | $3.7(5)$ |
| C(7) | 323 4(11) | -011 4(11) | 4826 6(7) | $6.6(3)$ | $4559(16)$ | $3562(15)$ | -0573(23) | $5.0(6)$ | $4495(16)$ | -6302(16) | $5595(23)$ | $4.7(6)$ |
| C(8) | 3372 (11) | 1091 111) | 4823 (7) | 7.0(3) | $4449(15)$ | 279 9(16) | -1319(20) | 4.5 (6) | 4431 (16) | -5571(16) | $6279(21)$ | $4.9(6)$ |
| C(9) | 2525 (11) | 1727 (10) | 527 7(6) | $6.1(3)$ | 3604 (16) | 2117 714) | 108 9(20) | $4.015)$ | 3655 516) | -525 2(14) | $6062(20)$ | $3.8(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | -209 9(9) | 2076 (8) | 669 1(6) | 4.2 (2) | 0015 (14) | $1335(12)$ | -0124(19) | $2.7(5)$ | 0015 (15) | -6283(14) | 4833 312) | $4.0(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | -232 6(8) | 2926 (8) | 6068 (5) | 3.5(2) | -0026(16) | 1260 0(14) | $1269(22)$ | 4.4.6) | -0019(16) | -6272(15) | 6230 022) | 4.2 (6) |
| C (12) | -3622(9) | 3102 (9) | 585 3(6) | 4.6(2) | -079 7(16) | 143 3(14) | -1819(22) | 4.7 (6) | -081 8(16) | -681 0(15) | 673 7(22) | 4.7 (6) |
| C(13) | -392 7(10) | 383 3(10) | 523 5(6) | 5.6 (3) | -0910(16) | 1387 (15) | 3121 (23) | $4.9(6)$ | -093 8(16) | -687 8(15) | 808 6(23) | 4.7 (6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | -3020(10) | 4435 (9) | 482 8(6) | $5.3(2)$ | -0229(17) | 1171 (15) | 3898 8(21) | $4.8(6)$ | -0235(18) | -632 9(16) | 8889 922) | $5.3(6)$ |
| C(15) | -174 0(9) | 4308 8) | $5012(6)$ | 4.3(2) | 052 6(15) | 1006 (14) | 3475 (21) | 3.9(5) | $0569(15)$ | $-5767(14)$ | $8469(21)$ | 4.3 (6) |
| C(16) | -1377(9) | 3522 (8) | 5655 (5) | 3.6(2) | $0667(15)$ | 105 6(14) | 2108 (21) | 3.6(5) | 070 4(15) | - 5721 (14) | 711 1(20) | 2.9 (5) |
| C(20) | 2378 (10) | 124 4(9) | 7865 (6) | 4.5(2) | 263 4(14) | 057 6(14) | -2433(19) | 3.2 (5) | 268 0(14) | -421 0(14) | 2491 19) | 3.4 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 335989 | 2096 (8) | 780 9(6) | 3.8(2) | 319 4(13) | $0064(13)$ | - 1731 (19) | 2.7 (5) | 3244 (14) | -338 1(14) | 3211 (21) | $3.4(5)$ |
| C(22) | 4478 (10) | 1929 9) | 8270 (6) | 5.1(3) | 381 3(14) | -029 4(13) | -2408(19) | $3.2(5)$ | 3893 (17) | -277 8(17) | 2502 (21) | $5.0(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 5421 (10) | 273 3(10) | 828 9(6) | $5.2(2)$ | 433 6(15) | -081 2(15) | -1865(22) | 4.4 (6) | 444 9(15) | -1964(16) | 3058 (22) | 4.7 (6) |
| C(24) | $5282(10)$ | 3760 (10) | 7878 8(6) | $5.4(3)$ | 423 2(15) | -1000(14) | -056 7(23) | 4.7 (6) | 4373 (16) | $-1782(15)$ | 4378 8(23) | 5.3(6) |
| C(25) | 420 4(9) | 396 7(9) | 740 6(6) | $4.6(2)$ | 3640 (15) | -069 7(14) | $0181(20)$ | 4.1 (6) | 375 8(15) | -236 6(15) | $5107(19)$ | 3.6 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)$ | 3240 (9) | $3129(9)$ | 733 9(6) | 4.0(2) | 307 2(14) | -0173(18) | -039 1(20) | $3.5(5)$ | 316 6(14) | -3209(14) | 458 6(20) | $3.2(5)$ |
| O(S1) |  |  |  |  | 273 7(11) | 0827 (11) | 374 3(15) | 7.6(5) | 2801 (10) | -438 7(11) | 872 8(14) | 6.6(4) |
| C(S1) |  |  |  |  | 3087 (18) | $0028(18)$ | 3655 53) | 6.2(7) | 297 9(16) | -344 8(17) | $8652(22)$ | $5.8(6)$ |
| C(S2) |  |  |  |  | 2318 (19) | -083 6(18) | 3548 (24) | 7.0(7) | $2162(19)$ | -3065(18) | $8518(25)$ | 7.8(8) |
| (b) Hydrogen atoms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H(1A) | $-157$ | 030 | 753 | $d$ | 024 | 076 | -258 | e | 038 | -665 | 245 |  |
| $\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~B})$ | -104 | 133 | 813 |  | 042 | 187 | -246 |  | 026 | -561 | 233 |  |
| $\mathrm{H}(2)$ | 054 | -000 | 816 |  | 152 | 127 | -370 |  | 154 | -548 | 128 |  |
| $\mathrm{H}(3 \mathrm{~A})$ | -011 | -127 | 716 |  | 183 | 286 | -335 |  | 275 | $-617$ | 205 |  |
| $\mathrm{H}(3 \mathrm{~B})$ | 140 | -098 | 708 |  | 279 | 259 | -294 |  | 177 | -693 | 164 |  |
| H(4A) | -038 | 013 | 606 |  | 165 | 281 | -095 |  | 224 | -755 | 337 |  |
| H (4B) | 012 | -118 | 585 |  | 231 | 373 | -158 |  | 159 | -698 | 403 |  |
| $\mathrm{H}(6)$ | 222 | -147 | 528 |  | 390 | 367 | -035 |  | 387 | -731 | 421 |  |
| $\mathrm{H}(7)$ | 382 | -062 | 451 |  | 514 | 412 | 072 |  | 509 | -650 | 575 |  |
| $\mathrm{H}(8)$ | 405 | 148 | 451 |  | 497 | 275 | 199 |  | 494 | $-524$ | 700 |  |
| $\mathrm{H}(9)$ | 261 | 260 | 528 |  | 352 | 151 | 167 |  | 363 | -465 | 661 |  |
| $\mathrm{H}(10)$ | -284 | 170 | 696 |  | 057 | 140 | -068 |  | -058 | $-664$ | 425 |  |
| $\mathrm{H}(12)$ | -430 | 269 | 615 |  | -129 | 163 | 121 |  | -137 | -715 | 607 |  |
| $\mathrm{H}(13)$ | -484 | 393 | 508 |  | -147 | 150 | 352 |  | -147 | -733 | 845 |  |
| $\mathrm{H}(14)$ | -328 | 498 | 439 |  | -033 | 111 | 488 |  | -036 | $-630$ | 985 |  |
| H(15) | -109 | 475 | 471 |  | 103 | 086 | 415 |  | 109 | -538 | 916 |  |
| $\mathrm{H}(20)$ | 253 | 058 | 824 |  | 271 | 065 | -345 |  | 275 | -427 | 149 |  |
| H(22) | 457 | 119 | 859 |  | 388 | -014 | -336 |  | 398 | -296 | 155 |  |
| $\mathrm{H}(23)$ | 621 | 258 | 860 |  | 482 | $-102$ | -237 |  | 489 | -150 | 247 |  |
| H $\mathbf{H}(24)$ | 596 | 436 | 791 |  | 456 | -146 | -018 |  | 479 | -119 | 481 |  |
| $\mathrm{H}(25)$ | 412 | 473 | 711 |  | 364 | -083 | 117 |  | 375 | -219 | 609 |  |
| H(S1A) |  |  |  |  | 353 | 003 | 440 |  | 336 | $-320$ | 788 |  |
| H(S1B) |  |  |  |  | 347 | 011 | 282 |  | 335 | -312 | 947 |  |

$a$ Fractional co-ordinates are generated by placing 0. prior to the first digit. ${ }^{b}$ Anisotropic parameters for $\mathrm{Fe}: \boldsymbol{\beta}_{11}=0.00933(16), \beta_{22}=0.00588(13), \beta_{33}=0.00343(6)$, $\beta_{11}=0.00009(17), \beta_{19}=-0.00027(7), \beta_{23}=0.00045(10)$, where the form of the anisotropic thermal ellipsoid is exp $\left[\beta_{11} h^{2}+\beta_{23} k^{2}+\beta_{33} l^{2}+2 \beta_{13} h k+2 \beta_{13} h l+2 \beta_{23} k l\right]$. fined. Hydrogen atom $\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ is bonded to carbon atom $\mathrm{C}(1)$, etc. d Temperature factor derived from attached carbon atom, $B_{H}=B_{\mathrm{C}}+1$. $\quad$ All the hydrogen atoms were given a temperature factor of $B_{H}=6.0$.
cylideneiminato) $\}$ iron(II). Figure $\mathbf{l}$ provides a perspective view of complex (1) together with the atomlabelling scheme. The only non-hydrogen intermolecular contacts less than $3.5 \AA$ are: $\mathrm{O}(2) \cdots \mathrm{C}(1) 3.40$, $\mathrm{O}(1) \cdots \mathrm{C}(2) 3.43, \mathrm{C}(6) \cdots \mathrm{C}(11) 3.47$, and $\mathrm{N}(1) \cdots$ $\mathrm{C}(3) 3.47 \AA$. The $\mathrm{Fe} \cdots \mathrm{C}(3)$ intermolecular separation is rather close at $3.53 \AA$. Figure 2 is a stereoscopic view of the contents of the unit cell.

The cobalt crystal structure comprises two crystallographically independent molecules of (2) with each molecule hydrogen bonded to an ethanol molecule $[\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Sl})-\mathrm{H} \cdot \cdots \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) 2.82 \AA$ for both molecules A and B$]$. Figure 3 is a stereoscopic view of the packing of (2) and the ethanol adduct molecule in the unit cell. Closest contacts not involving hydrogen atoms are: $\mathrm{C}(\mathbf{1 5}) \cdots$ $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Sl}) 3.39, \mathrm{C}(13) \cdots \mathrm{C}(20) 3.40, \mathrm{C}(24) \cdots \mathrm{C}(24) 3.41$, $\mathrm{C}(15) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{Sl}^{\prime}\right) 3.43, \mathrm{C}(8) \cdots \mathrm{C}\left(8^{\prime}\right) 3.44, \mathrm{O}(2) \cdots \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Sl})$ 3.44 , and $\mathrm{O}\left(2^{\prime}\right) \cdots \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{S1}^{\prime}\right) \quad 3.46$ A.* The relative

* Here and elsewhere, atoms of molecule B are distinguished from those of A by a single prime.
orientations of the ethanol adduct molecule to the complex are strikingly similar for the two crystallographically independent species. The co-ordination of a pyridyl group (which is linked by an ethyl group to the ethylene


Figures 1 Perspective diagram of [Fe(salpeen)], showing the atom-labelling scheme, drawn using ORTEP2 (C. K. Johnson). The ellipsoids enclose $30 \%$ probability
bridge of the Schiff base) induces deviations of the quadridentate bis(salicylideneiminate) fragment from the square-planar conformation commonly adopted in the
ethylenebis(benzoylacetoneiminate) and $3 \mathrm{Bu}^{\mathrm{t}}$-saltmen $=$ $N N^{\prime}$-tetramethylethylenebis(3-t-butylsalicylideneiminate)].


Figure 2 Stereoscopic view down the $a$ axis of the packing of $[\mathrm{Fe}$ (salpeen)] in the unit cell. Perspective view distance, 30 in


Figure 3 Stereoscopic view down the $c$-axis of the packing of $[\mathrm{Co}$ (salpeen) $]$ in the unit cell. Perspective view distance, 30 in
four-co-ordinate species such as $[\mathrm{Co}($ salen $)]$, ${ }^{16}$ [Co(bzacen) $],{ }^{17}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(3 \mathrm{Bu}^{\mathrm{t}} \text {-saltmen) }\right]^{18}\left[\right.\right.$ bzacen $=N N^{\prime}$ -
${ }^{16}$ W. P. Schaefer and R. W. Marsh, Acta Cryst., 1969, B25, 1675.
${ }_{17}$ G. A. Rodley and W. T. Robinson, unpublished structure determination.

In both crystal structures the geometry is distorted trigonal bipyramidal with the $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ group as the quasi-three-fold axis. The bond angle $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ is
${ }^{18}$ R. S. Gall, J. F. Rogers, W. P. Schaefer, and G. G. Cristoph, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1976, 98, 5135.
$165.4(3)^{\circ}\left[173.5(6)\right.$ and $\left.172.8(7)^{\circ}\right] . *$ In the co-ordination polyhedra, the most notable differences between (1) and (2) involve the $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{N}$ (salen) separations and the $\mathrm{O}(1)^{-}$ $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{O}(2)$ bond angles. The $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}$ (salen) separations are $0.08 \AA$ greater than the $\mathrm{Co}^{-} \mathrm{N}$ (salen) separations, and the $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}(2)$ angle is more than $10^{\circ}$ greater for (1) than for (2). This is compensated for by contractions,
$\mathrm{N}_{2}$ moiety is non-planar. The dihedral angle between planes $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ and $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ is $30.7^{\circ}(25.2$ and $30.6^{\circ}$ ) and the torsional angle $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ is $16.3(3)^{\circ}\left[12.6(5)\right.$ and $\left.17.2(5)^{\circ}\right]$. Table 4 lists dihedral angles between a selection of least-squares planes and also the mean absolute displacement of atoms from a particular plane. The two chelate rings, chelate 1

Table 3
Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$; the values for the molecules A and B of (2) follow those of (1)

| M-O(1) | 1.958(6) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 87.0(3) | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 165.4(3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.933(13) |  | 91.8 (6) |  | 173.5(6) |
|  | 1.939(13) |  | $90.5(6)$ |  | 172.8(7) |
| M-O(2) | $1.960(6)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 86.8 (3) | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 110.0 (3) |
|  | 1.926(10) |  | 92.5(6) |  | 108.6(6) |
|  | $1.909(10)$ |  | 90.2 (6) |  | 110.3 (6) |
| $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $2.085(7)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 78.5(3) | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 88.6 (3) |
|  | 1.945(14) |  | 83.8(7) |  | 88.9(6) |
|  | 2.004(16) |  | 82.9(7) |  | 88.3 (6) |
| $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.101(8) \\ & 1.929(17) \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $104.4(3)$ $89.6(5)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $98.6(3)$ $97.0(5)$ |
|  | 1.9292(16) |  | 89.60 94.0 (5) |  | 96.8(6) |
| $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $2.147(8)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 146.6 (3) | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 99.4 (3) |
|  | 2142 (13) |  | $155.5(6)$ |  | 95.5 (6) |
|  | $2.147(14)$ |  | 149.2 (6) |  | 99.4(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.514(12)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $109.3(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $120.1(9)$ |
|  | $1.50(3)$ |  | 112.5(18) |  | $119.8(18)$ |
|  | $1.50(3)$ $1.536(13)$ |  | $111.8(18)$ 107.18 | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $121.3(18)$ $116.1(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.57(3)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 104.7(17) |  | 116.8(20) |
|  | 1.58 (2) |  | 107.6(17) |  | 115.4(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.513(13)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $111.4(8)$ |  |  |
|  | 1.50 (3) |  | 113.4(17) |  |  |
|  | 1.52(3) |  | 109.1(17) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.504(12)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 113.8(8) |  |  |
|  | 1.48(3) |  | 109.1(18) |  |  |
|  | 1.51(3) |  | 110.6(18) |  |  |



Figure 4 Perspective diagrams of [ Fe (salpeen)] (a) and molecules $\mathrm{A}(b)$ and $\mathrm{B}(c)$ of $[\mathrm{Co}$ (salpeen)]. The orientation-defining vectors in each case are formed from the same atom combinations
which are distributed fairly evenly, among the other bond angles involving the metal and the salen ligand donor atoms. Table $3 \dagger$ lists selected bond distances and angles for the co-ordination polyhedra of (1) and the two independent molecules of (2). Differences in $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{O}$ (salen) separations for (1) and (2) are small and the three $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{N}(p y)$ separations are essentially identical.

Figure 4 illustrates qualitatively small differences among the three molecules (1), (2A), and (2B). For each case the orientation-defining vectors are formed from the same atom combinations. Quantitatively, the following conformational aspects are noted. The $\mathrm{FeO}_{2}{ }^{-}$

* Immediately following a parameter for (1), the corresponding parameters for molecules A and B of (2), respectively, are quoted in parentheses.
and 2, are not coplanar; neither are the two salicylideneiminate residues sal 1 and 2 . The atoms comprising these planes are listed in Table 4.

The Zemann test ${ }^{19}\left[\delta=\left(\Sigma\left|\mathrm{XMX}_{\text {obs. }}-\mathrm{XMX}_{\text {theor. }}\right|\right) /-\right.$ $\left.\Sigma\left|\mathrm{XMX}_{\text {theor. }}\right|\right]$ indicates that (1) exhibits greater departures from ideal trigonal-bipyramidal or square-pyramidal symmetries than (2).
$\dagger$ Bonded distances and angles together with the estimated standard deviations (e.s.d.s) were calculated using CORFFE (a local version of ORFFE; W. R. Busing, K. O. Martin, and H. A. Levy). Torsional angles were calculated using GEOM and unweighted least-squares planes, the dihedral angles between them, and displacements of atoms therefrom using MEAN PLANE (M.E. Pippy and F. R. Ahmed). Diagrams were obtained using ORTEP2, a local version of ORTEP-II (C. K. Johnson, 1970).

19 J. Zemann, Z. anorg. Chem. 1963, 324, 241.

The co-ordinated pyridyl group has a number of interesting features as a consequence of its attachment to the salen component. First, it is slightly rotated about its normal so that the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ angle is $125.5(7)^{\circ}$ $\left[126.1(9)\right.$ and $\left.127.1(9)^{\circ}\right]$ and it is tilted with respect to the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}(3)$ vector so that the iron atom is $0.516(1) \AA$ [0.293(3) and $0.120(3) \AA]$ out of the pyridyl plane. This alleviates close ethylpyridyl contacts with the salen group. Secondly, the pyridyl plane is orientated with respect to the salen component such that the torsional
bond length ( $0.011-0.013 \AA$ ). For (2) the higher e.s.d.s associated with individual bond lengths mask any possible significance in such dispersion. In addition, differences between chemically equivalent bonds in the two phenyl groups for each molecule are statistically insignificant. The internal consistency is reassuring, but, more importantly, the average bond length for each class closely parallels the well characterised pattern of three long inner bonds and three short outer bonds observed for other related salen derivatives. ${ }^{20}$ No

Table 4
Angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ between normals to the planes *

|  | Ph 1 | Ph 2 | py | sal 1 | sal 2 | Chelate 1 | Chelate 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 16.5 | 14.0 | 70.1 | 16.1 | 11.8 | 16.3 | 11.5 |
| $\mathrm{N}(1), \mathrm{O}(1), \mathrm{N}(2), \mathrm{O}(2)$ | 13.5 | 7.5 | 75.9 | 13.2 | 7.0 | 13.7 | 8.3 |
| $\vec{d} / \AA=0.213,0.157,0.216$ | 15.8 | 7.3 | 80.5 | 15.7 | 7.7 | 15.8 | 9.9 |
| Ph 1 |  | 30.1 | 64.6 | 1.6 | 28.0 | 3.2 | 28.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(11), \mathrm{C}(12), \mathrm{C}(13), \mathrm{C}(14), \mathrm{C}(15), \mathrm{C}(16)$ |  | 20.5 | 69.3 | 0.8 | 20.0 | 1.9 | 21.7 |
| $\bar{d} \AA \AA=0.005,0.0070 .015$ |  | 22.0 | 73.2 | 0.3 | 22.5 | 0.9 | 25.7 |
| Ph 2 |  |  | 71.3 | 29.3 | 2.4 | 29.2 | 5.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(21), \mathrm{C}(22), \mathrm{C}(23), \mathrm{C}(24), \mathrm{C}(25), \mathrm{C}(26)$ |  |  | 76.6 | 20.1 | 0.5 | 20.3 | 2.8 |
| $\bar{d} / \AA=0.018,0.012,0.010$ |  |  | 79.4 | 21.8 | 0.5 | 21.7 | 5.4 |
| py |  |  |  | 63.3 | 71.8 | 61.7 | 75.6 |
| $\mathrm{N}(3), \mathrm{C}(5), \mathrm{C}(6), \mathrm{C}(7), \mathrm{C}(8), \mathrm{C}(9)$ |  |  |  | 68.7 | 76.6 | 67.5 | 79.4 |
| $\bar{d} / \AA=0.009,0.018,0.005$ |  |  |  | 73.2 | 79.7 | 72.5 | 845 |
| sal 1 |  |  |  |  | 27.3 | 1.7 | 27.6 |
| $\mathrm{N}(1), \mathrm{C}(10), \mathrm{C}(11) \cdots \mathrm{C}(16), \mathrm{O}(1)$ |  |  |  |  | 19.6 | 1.2 | 21.4 |
| $\bar{d} / \AA=0.029,0.023,0.024$ |  |  |  |  | 22.3 | 0.7 | 25.5 |
| sal 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 27.3 | 4.1 |
| $\mathrm{N}(2), \mathrm{C}(20), \mathrm{C}(21) \cdots \mathrm{C}(26), \mathrm{O}(2)$ |  |  |  |  |  | 19.9 | 2.8 |
| $\dot{d} / \AA=0.047,0.011,0.020$ |  |  |  |  |  | 22.2 | 5.0 |
| Chelate 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27.8 |
| $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{N}(1), \mathrm{C}(10), \mathrm{C}(11), \mathrm{C}(16), \mathrm{O}(1)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21.8 |
| $\bar{d} / \AA=0.015,0.023,0.026$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25.6 |

Chelate 2
$\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{N}(2), \mathrm{C}(20), \mathrm{C}(21), \mathrm{C}(26), \mathrm{O}(2)$
$\bar{d} / \AA=0.050,0.049,0.057$
$* \overline{\mathrm{~d}}=$ Mean absolute displacement of atoms $(\AA)$ from their least-squares plane in the order $(1),(2 \mathrm{~A})$, and $(2 \mathrm{~B})$. The order of the dihedral angles in descending the columns is (1), (2A), and (2B).
angle $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ is $31.4(8)^{\circ}\left[29(2)\right.$ and $\left.27(2)^{\circ}\right]$ and $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ is $45.9(8)^{\circ}\left[54(1)\right.$ and $\left.55(2)^{\circ}\right]$. A non-eclipsing conformation avoids close salen-py contacts. Thirdly, there is evidence of stress in the ethyl group which links the py and salen components. Atom $C(4)$ is displaced by $0.13(1) \AA[0.11(2)$ and $0.11(3) \AA]$ from the pyridyl plane, and there are highly significant departures of the $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ and $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ bond angles from ideal tetrahedral symmetry (Table 2). Moreover, in all the three molecules the $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ bonds are longer than the other $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds involving $s p^{3}$ hybridised carbon atoms, although the differences are of marginal significance. The ethylene bridge adopts the more usual gauche conformation ${ }^{20}$ with the torsional angle $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ being $43(1)^{\circ} \quad[36(2)$ and $\left.37(2)^{\circ}\right]$.

For (1) the dispersion (e.s.d. $0.029 \AA$ ) of the 12 phenyl C-C bonds about their mean $[1.397(29) \AA]$ is statistically significant in comparison with the e.s.d. of an individual
significant deviation of the phenyl $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond angles from their mean value of $120.0^{\circ}$ occurs for the three molecules. The phenyl rings (and also the pyridyl ring) are approximately planar; they are also coplanar with their associated chelate rings. Departures of atoms from the least-squares planes for the two nine-atom salicylideneiminate residues are modest.

The two crystallographically independent molecules of (2) provide an excellent demonstration of the effects of crystal packing on molecular stereochemistry. In the immediate co-ordination sphere the most notable difference between the two molecules of (2) is in the $\mathrm{Co}^{-} \mathrm{N}$ (salen) separations: for molecule $A$ the average separation is $1.94 \AA$, for molecule $B, 2.00 \AA$. The differences are statistically significant. Other small differences between the two molecules are best appreciated qualitatively by inspection of Figure 4. Small differ-
${ }^{20}$ M. Calligaris, G. Nardin, and L. Raṇdaccio, Co-ordination Chem. Rev., 1972, 7, 385.
ences in ( $i$ ) the dihedral angles between the planes $\mathrm{O}(1), \mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{N}(1)$ and $\mathrm{O}(2), \mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{N}(2)$, (ii) the torsional angles $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2)$, and (iii) the disposition of the cobalt atoms with respect to the pyridyl plane are noted (see above).
Even more pronounced differences between two crystallographically independent molecules are observed for [Co(salbn)(py)]; one molecule has square-pyramidal geometry, the other is a distorted square pyramid. ${ }^{8}$ Thus, in comparing related structures, small differences in stereochemistry about the metal centre may be manifestations of crystal packing and not of intrinsic electronic demands of the metal centre.

The considerable rearrangement of the quinquedentate salpeen ligand that accompanies the co-ordination of dioxygen to $[\mathrm{Co}($ salpeen $)]$ is described in the following paper.
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